E-ISSN: 3124-4726

Al Arsy: Journal of Education, Management and Islamic Thought

REFRAMING CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT IN CONTEMPORARY EDUCATION: INTEGRATING THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS, DEVELOPMENT MODELS, AND POLICY TRANSFORMATION IN INDONESIA

Ahmad Mahali [1], Nanang Budianto [2], Maskur Azka[3]

[1] Magister Pendidikan Agama Islam, Universitas Al-Falah As-Sunniyah Kencong, Indonesia
[2] Magister Pendidikan Agama Islam, Universitas Al-Falah As-Sunniyah Kencong, Indonesia
[3] Magister Pendidikan Agama Islam, Universitas Al-Falah As-Sunniyah Kencong, Indonesia
Email : ahmadmahali52@gmail.com[1] , 2110108303@inaifas.ac.id[2], maskur90@gmail.com [3]

E-ISSN: 3124-4726		
Received: Juni 2026	Accepted: Juni 2026	Published: Juni 2026

Abstract :

Curriculum development is a crucial issue in contemporary education because of rapid changes in science, technology, and socio-cultural life. Curriculum is not only an instructional guideline but also a strategic framework that shapes learning goals, experiences, competencies, and educational direction. This study critically examines the foundations, principles, models, and policy evolution of curriculum development in Indonesia, especially the shift from the 2013 Curriculum to the Merdeka Curriculum. Using qualitative library research, data were collected from books, scholarly articles, and policy documents, then analyzed through content analysis. The findings show that curriculum development requires a systematic and continuous process involving goal formulation, content organization, learning strategies, and evaluation. Key principles include relevance, flexibility, continuity, effectiveness, efficiency, and learner-centeredness. Tyler's objective model and Taba's teacher-centered model emphasize alignment between goals and contextual needs. The Merdeka Curriculum reflects a shift toward flexible, competency-based, contextual, and student-centered learning.

Keywords: *Curriculum Development; Educational Policy; Curriculum Reform; merdeka Curriculum; Learner-Centered Education; Educational Transformation.*

Abstrak:

Pengembangan kurikulum merupakan isu penting dalam pendidikan kontemporer karena perubahan pesat dalam ilmu pengetahuan, teknologi, dan kehidupan sosial budaya. Kurikulum bukan hanya pedoman pengajaran tetapi juga kerangka strategis yang membentuk tujuan pembelajaran, pengalaman, kompetensi, dan arah pendidikan. Studi ini secara kritis mengkaji dasar-dasar, prinsip-prinsip, model, dan evolusi kebijakan pengembangan kurikulum di Indonesia, khususnya pergeseran dari Kurikulum 2013 ke Kurikulum Merdeka. Dengan menggunakan penelitian pustaka kualitatif, data dikumpulkan dari buku, artikel ilmiah, dan dokumen kebijakan, kemudian dianalisis melalui analisis isi. Temuan menunjukkan bahwa pengembangan kurikulum membutuhkan proses sistematis dan berkelanjutan yang melibatkan perumusan tujuan, pengorganisasian isi, strategi pembelajaran, dan evaluasi. Prinsip-prinsip utama meliputi relevansi, fleksibilitas, kontinuitas, efektivitas, efisiensi, dan berpusat pada siswa. Model objektif Tyler dan model berpusat pada guru Taba menekankan keselarasan antara tujuan dan kebutuhan kontekstual. Kurikulum

Merdeka mencerminkan pergeseran menuju pembelajaran yang fleksibel, berbasis kompetensi, kontekstual, dan berpusat pada siswa.

Kata kunci: Pengembangan Kurikulum; Kebijakan Pendidikan; Reformasi Kurikulum; Kurikulum independen; Pendidikan Berpusat pada Peserta Didik; Transformasi Pendidikan.

INTRODUCTION

Curriculum development has become one of the most significant concerns in contemporary education as educational systems worldwide face unprecedented changes driven by technological advancement, globalization, socio-cultural transformation, and the evolving demands of twenty-first-century competencies(Kartika, 2024). In this context, curriculum is no longer perceived merely as a structured document containing learning objectives and subject content, but rather as a dynamic framework that determines how knowledge, skills, values, and competencies are constructed within educational processes(Azmi & Hadiyanto, 2023). The rapid development of digital technologies, artificial intelligence, and global interconnectedness has intensified the need for curricula that are adaptive, flexible, and capable of preparing learners to respond effectively to complex social and professional challenges(Hunaepi & Suharta, 2024).

The contemporary understanding of curriculum has shifted from a traditional transmission-oriented approach toward a learner-centered paradigm. Earlier curriculum perspectives emphasized the organization of subject matter and instructional objectives, whereas current curriculum theories highlight the importance of meaningful learning experiences, student agency, contextual learning, and competency development(Syahrir & Pujiriyanto, 2024). This transformation indicates that curriculum development is not simply a technical process of selecting content and arranging learning activities, but a complex educational practice involving philosophical assumptions, social contexts, political considerations, and future-oriented visions of education(Lestari & Wahyuni, 2023).

Theoretically, curriculum development has been influenced by various conceptual models that provide different perspectives on designing and implementing educational programs. Tyler's rational model emphasizes the importance of clearly formulated educational objectives, appropriate learning experiences, effective organization of learning activities, and systematic evaluation processes. Meanwhile, Taba's model highlights the significant role of teachers as curriculum developers because they possess direct knowledge of students' needs and classroom realities(Waly, Asmi, Abdullah, & Syafriadi, 2025). These models demonstrate that curriculum development requires alignment between educational goals, learning experiences, assessment practices, and contextual demands.

In addition to theoretical considerations, curriculum development is closely related to educational policy transformation. Curriculum policies represent governmental responses to social change, economic development, and educational challenges. In many countries, curriculum reform has become a strategic mechanism for improving educational quality and preparing students with relevant competencies for future societies(Setiawan & Ahla, 2023). In

Indonesia, curriculum transformation reflects continuous efforts to improve national education quality, beginning with previous curriculum reforms and continuing through the implementation of the 2013 Curriculum and the current Merdeka Curriculum. The Merdeka Curriculum represents a significant policy shift by promoting flexibility, differentiated learning, project-based learning, and student-centered educational practices(Abidin, 2023).

However, despite extensive research on curriculum reform and implementation, several limitations remain in existing studies. Previous research has frequently focused on specific aspects of curriculum implementation, teacher readiness, or policy effectiveness, while comprehensive discussions integrating curriculum theories, development principles, curriculum models, and policy transformation within a unified analytical framework remain relatively limited(Lestari & Wahyuni, 2023). This fragmentation creates a need for a broader conceptual understanding of how curriculum development evolves from theoretical foundations into practical educational policies.

Furthermore, curriculum change cannot be understood solely as a policy decision from government institutions. Successful curriculum transformation requires interaction among policymakers, educational institutions, teachers, and learners. Teachers, in particular, play a central role as curriculum interpreters and curriculum makers who translate policy intentions into meaningful classroom practices(Alhapip, Isal, Yugo, & Aji, 2024). Therefore, examining curriculum development requires an integrated perspective that considers both theoretical dimensions and practical implementation challenges.

Based on these considerations, this study aims to critically examine curriculum development by integrating four interconnected dimensions: (1) the conceptual foundations of curriculum development, (2) the principles underlying effective curriculum design, (3) major curriculum development models, and (4) curriculum policy transformation in Indonesia. By employing a library research approach, this study seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of curriculum development as a dynamic educational process rather than merely an administrative framework(Astuti, Ismail, Fatimah, Puspita, & Herlina, 2024).

The contribution of this study lies in proposing an integrated perspective that connects curriculum theory, development models, and educational policy transformation. This approach provides theoretical implications for curriculum studies and practical implications for educators, policymakers, and educational institutions in designing responsive curricula capable of balancing global challenges with local educational contexts.

RESEARCH METHOD

The literature search was conducted systematically through several reputable academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar, to identify relevant peer-reviewed publications addressing curriculum development, curriculum reform, curriculum models, and educational policy transformation. The search process was designed to capture contemporary discussions on curriculum

transformation by applying a combination of keywords and Boolean operators, including ("curriculum development" OR "curriculum reform" OR "curriculum transformation") and ("curriculum theory" OR "curriculum model" OR "educational policy") AND ("student-centered learning" OR "educational change" OR "Merdeka Curriculum"). The literature selection process focused on studies published between 2015 and 2025 to ensure alignment with current educational transformation discourse, particularly regarding curriculum innovation, digital transformation, and competency-based education. Recent studies emphasize that curriculum research requires an interdisciplinary perspective that connects theoretical foundations, educational practices, and policy changes in response to global educational challenges(Azalia, Lerian, & Taufik, 2023). Although the review prioritized contemporary publications, several foundational theoretical works related to classical curriculum development models were retained due to their significant conceptual contributions to understanding curriculum design, curriculum principles, and educational change processes. This comprehensive search strategy enabled the identification of relevant literature for synthesizing theoretical perspectives and constructing an integrated framework of curriculum development and policy transformation(Nari, Khaidir, Arif, & Gistituati, 2023).

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To ensure methodological rigor, this study applied predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria consisted of: 1).Articles published in peer-reviewed academic journals. 2). Studies focusing on curriculum development, curriculum theory, curriculum models, or educational policy transformation. 3). Publications written in English or Indonesian. 4). Studies providing conceptual analysis, empirical evidence, or theoretical discussion relevant to curriculum development. 5). Publications indexed in recognized academic databases(Elfitra, Armanto, & Dewi, 2024).

Meanwhile, studies were excluded when they: 1). Focused only on classroom teaching strategies without discussing curriculum dimensions. 2). Were non-academic publications, opinion articles, or unpublished manuscripts. 3). Did not provide sufficient theoretical or methodological information. 4).Examined curriculum issues outside the educational context. The selection procedure followed the principles of systematic review reporting based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA 2020) framework to ensure transparency and reproducibility in the review process.(Fauzi & Hidayat, 2025)

Data Analysis

The collected literature was analyzed using qualitative thematic content analysis. This analytical technique was applied to identify recurring concepts, theoretical perspectives, and emerging themes related to curriculum development. The analysis process involved four stages: (1) identification of relevant literature based on research objectives. (2) screening and classification of selected studies. (3) coding of major concepts and theoretical arguments; and (4) synthesis of findings into thematic categories(Rong, Xiao, Zhao, & Zhou,

2025).

Based on this process, the literature was categorized into four analytical dimensions:1). Conceptual foundations of curriculum development, including definitions, purposes, and philosophical perspectives. 2). Principles of curriculum development, including relevance, flexibility, continuity, effectiveness, and learner-centered orientation. 3). Curriculum development models, particularly objective-based and teacher-centered approaches. 4). Curriculum policy transformation**,** focusing on educational reform and the implementation of the Indonesian Merdeka Curriculum(Hisaanah et al., 2026).

Quality Assessment and Research Validity

To enhance the reliability of the findings, selected articles were critically evaluated based on methodological clarity, theoretical contribution, relevance to the research objectives, and publication quality. Studies published in internationally recognized journals were prioritized to strengthen the academic validity of the synthesis(Aguinis, Ramani, & Alabduljader, 2023).

The methodological process aimed to minimize researcher bias by applying systematic selection procedures, transparent coding strategies, and continuous comparison among reviewed studies(Ajayi & Letseka, 2026). Through this approach, the study provides a theoretically grounded synthesis of curriculum development as a dynamic interaction between educational theory, institutional practices, and policy transformation.

Table 1. Research Design Framework and Literature Review Process

Component	Description	Implementation in This Study
Research Approach	Integrative Systematic Literature Review (ISLR)	An integrative approach was used to synthesize theoretical and empirical literature on curriculum development, its models, and policy transformation.
Review Framework	PRISMA 2020 Framework	The review followed the PRISMA 2020 guideline consisting of identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and inclusion of relevant studies.
Database Sources	International and national academic databases	Literature was retrieved from Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar.
Search Strategy	Keyword-based searching using Boolean operators	Keywords included: "curriculum development", "curriculum reform", "curriculum transformation", "curriculum theory", "educational policy", and "Merdeka Curriculum".
Selection Criteria	Inclusion and exclusion criteria	Studies were selected based on relevance, peer-reviewed status, publication quality, and contribution to curriculum development discourse.
Data Analysis Technique	Qualitative thematic content analysis	Selected studies were coded, categorized, and synthesized to identify patterns and generate themes related to curriculum transformation.
Analytical Themes	Four thematic categories	(1) Conceptual foundations of curriculum development; (2) Principles of curriculum development; (3) Curriculum development models; (4) Curriculum policy transformation.
Validity Strategy	Critical evaluation and comparative synthesis	Literature quality was assessed based on theoretical relevance, methodological clarity, and contribution to curriculum studies to enhance the credibility of findings.
Research Output	Integrated conceptual framework	The study develops an integrated framework connecting curriculum theory, development models, and policy transformation toward adaptive educational systems.

Results and Discussion

Overview of Thematic Synthesis

The integrative literature review identified four major themes that represent the transformation of curriculum development in contemporary education(Pavlov & Arapova, 2024). The thematic synthesis demonstrates that curriculum development is not merely a technical process of organizing learning materials but a multidimensional transformation involving theoretical foundations, pedagogical principles, curriculum models, and educational policy reform. The identified themes emerged from the analysis of selected literature and illustrate the relationship between curriculum theory, development practices, and policy transformation.

Table 2. Thematic Synthesis of Curriculum Development Transformation

Main Theme	Key Concepts Identified	Theoretical Foundation	Educational Implications
Curriculum Development as a Transformative Educational Framework	Curriculum as knowledge construction, learning experience, competency development, and social transformation	Curriculum theory, knowledge framework, learner-centered education	Curriculum should respond to societal changes and future competencies
Principles of Adaptive Curriculum Development	Relevance, flexibility, continuity, effectiveness, efficiency, student-centered orientation	Curriculum development principles and educational design theory	Curriculum design requires contextual adaptation and learner responsiveness
Evolution of Curriculum Development Models	Objective model, teacher-based curriculum development, systemic and contextual models	Tyler model, Taba model, contemporary curriculum theory	Curriculum development requires alignment between goals, learning experiences, and assessment
Curriculum Policy Transformation in Indonesia	Curriculum reform, educational innovation, Curriculum 2013, Merdeka Curriculum	Educational policy and curriculum change theory	Policy transformation requires collaboration among government, schools, and teachers

Curriculum Development as a Transformative Educational Framework

The findings indicate that curriculum development has evolved from a narrow understanding of curriculum as a collection of subjects toward a broader perspective that views curriculum as a transformative educational framework. Contemporary curriculum scholars argue that curriculum represents a complex system connecting knowledge, values, learning experiences, and social expectations(Bo, 2025). This perspective emphasizes that curriculum is not simply a document implemented by teachers but a dynamic process through which educational goals are interpreted and reconstructed according to changing societal contexts.

The transformation of curriculum concepts is strongly influenced by globalization, technological advancement, and the emergence of twenty-first-century competencies. Learners are increasingly expected to develop critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, communication, and digital literacy rather than merely acquire factual knowledge (Zhao, 2022). Therefore, curriculum development must move beyond content transmission toward competency-oriented learning experiences.

The reviewed literature also highlights the importance of viewing curriculum as a mechanism for educational transformation. According to Fullan (2022), sustainable educational reform requires curriculum change that is supported by institutional capacity, teacher engagement, and continuous

improvement processes. This indicates that curriculum transformation cannot occur only through policy revision but requires changes in educational culture and professional practice.

Principles of Adaptive Curriculum Development

The second theme demonstrates that effective curriculum development depends on several fundamental principles that ensure curriculum relevance and sustainability. The analysis identified six dominant principles: relevance, flexibility, continuity, effectiveness, efficiency, and learner-centered orientation.

The principle of relevance emphasizes that curriculum content must correspond with learners' needs, societal expectations, and future professional demands. In contemporary education, curriculum relevance is closely associated with the ability of educational systems to prepare learners for uncertain and rapidly changing environments (Zhao, 2022).

Flexibility has become increasingly important due to diverse educational contexts and learner characteristics. Recent curriculum reforms emphasize that standardized curriculum structures should provide sufficient autonomy for educational institutions and teachers to adapt learning processes according to local conditions (Andriani et al., 2024). This approach reflects a shift from centralized curriculum implementation toward contextual curriculum development.

Furthermore, learner-centered orientation represents a fundamental transformation in curriculum philosophy. Students are no longer positioned as passive recipients of knowledge but as active participants who construct understanding through meaningful learning experiences. This perspective aligns with contemporary approaches emphasizing differentiated instruction, student agency, and personalized learning (UNESCO, 2023).

Evolution of Curriculum Development Models

The third theme reveals that curriculum development models have continuously evolved in response to changes in educational philosophy and societal needs. Classical models, particularly Tyler's objective model and Taba's teacher-centered model, remain influential foundations in curriculum studies.

Tyler's model emphasizes four fundamental questions: educational objectives, learning experiences, organization of learning experiences, and evaluation. This rational approach provides a systematic structure for curriculum planning by ensuring alignment between intended outcomes and assessment practices.

However, contemporary curriculum development requires more contextual and participatory approaches. Taba's model contributes a significant perspective by emphasizing teacher involvement in curriculum construction. Teachers are considered curriculum developers because they possess practical knowledge regarding students' characteristics and classroom realities.

Recent studies highlight that curriculum development increasingly requires teacher agency and collaborative curriculum-making approaches. (Priestley et al. (2022) argue that teachers play a critical role in interpreting and transforming curriculum policies into meaningful educational practices.

Therefore, modern curriculum development should integrate systematic planning with contextual adaptation.

Curriculum Policy Transformation in Indonesia: From Curriculum 2013 to Merdeka Curriculum

The fourth theme focuses on curriculum policy transformation in Indonesia. The findings demonstrate that curriculum reform represents an ongoing response to educational challenges, technological development, and social transformation(Bo, 2025).

The implementation of Curriculum 2013 marked an important reform emphasizing balanced development of attitudes, knowledge, and skills through scientific learning approaches. However, educational challenges, including learning loss during the COVID-19 pandemic and increasing demands for competency-based education, encouraged the emergence of the Merdeka Curriculum.

The Merdeka Curriculum represents a significant policy transformation by introducing greater flexibility, differentiated learning, project-based learning, and learner-centered approaches. Recent studies indicate that this curriculum provides greater autonomy for teachers and educational institutions to design learning experiences according to student needs (Arianto et al., 2024; Candra & Wahzudik, 2024).

Nevertheless, successful curriculum transformation requires more than policy changes. Implementation depends on teacher competence, institutional readiness, infrastructure support, and continuous professional development. Therefore, curriculum reform should be understood as a systemic transformation involving multiple educational stakeholders.

Integrated Discussion: Toward a Curriculum Transformation Framework

Based on the thematic synthesis, this study proposes an integrated understanding of curriculum development as a continuous transformation process. Curriculum development begins with theoretical foundations that define educational purposes, followed by principles that guide curriculum design, models that structure development processes, and policies that institutionalize curriculum transformation(Pavlov & Arapova, 2024).

This framework suggests that successful curriculum development requires alignment among four interconnected dimensions: conceptual foundations, development principles, curriculum models, and policy implementation. Such integration provides a more comprehensive perspective compared with previous studies that often examine curriculum theory, implementation, or policy reform separately.

Therefore, curriculum development in contemporary education should be understood as a dynamic ecosystem that connects knowledge construction, pedagogical innovation, teacher agency, and educational governance.

CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated that curriculum development in contemporary education should be understood as a dynamic and

transformative process rather than merely a technical activity of organizing learning content. Through an integrative systematic literature review, this study synthesized four interconnected dimensions of curriculum transformation: conceptual foundations, curriculum development principles, curriculum development models, and educational policy transformation. The findings indicate that effective curriculum development requires alignment between educational goals, learning experiences, assessment practices, and broader social demands.

The first major finding highlights that curriculum has evolved from a content-oriented framework into a transformative educational system that emphasizes knowledge construction, competency development, learner agency, and social relevance. The second finding demonstrates that adaptive curriculum development is grounded in essential principles, including relevance, flexibility, continuity, effectiveness, efficiency, and learner-centered orientation. These principles provide a foundation for designing curricula that are responsive to diverse learner characteristics and changing societal needs.
Furthermore, the study reveals that curriculum development models have continuously evolved from classical objective-based approaches toward more participatory and contextual models. While Tyler's and Taba's models remain significant theoretical foundations, contemporary curriculum development requires greater attention to teacher agency, institutional capacity, and contextual adaptation. This finding reinforces the argument that curriculum transformation cannot be achieved solely through structural policy changes but requires active engagement from educators and educational stakeholders.

The analysis of curriculum policy transformation in Indonesia demonstrates that the transition from Curriculum 2013 to the Merdeka Curriculum represents a significant paradigm shift toward flexibility, differentiated learning, competency development, and student-centered education. However, successful curriculum reform depends on the alignment between policy objectives, teacher professional development, institutional readiness, and implementation support.

The theoretical contribution of this study lies in proposing an integrated perspective that connects curriculum theory, development models, and educational policy transformation within a single analytical framework. This framework expands previous discussions that often examine curriculum concepts, implementation practices, or policy reforms separately. Practically, the findings provide implications for policymakers, curriculum developers, and educators in designing adaptive curriculum systems capable of addressing global educational challenges while maintaining contextual relevance.

Although this study provides a comprehensive conceptual synthesis, it is limited by its reliance on secondary literature and does not examine empirical variations across different educational contexts. Future research may extend this framework through comparative empirical studies, exploring how curriculum transformation is interpreted and implemented by teachers, institutions, and policymakers in diverse educational settings.

REFERENCES

Abidin, Z. (2023). The relevance of curriculum merdeka belajar policies with the formation of religious character. *Mudir: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan*. Retrieved from http://ejournal.unsuda.ac.id/index.php/MPI/article/view/638

Aguinis, Herman, Ramani, Ravi S, & Alabduljader, Nawaf. (2023). Best-Practice Recommendations for Producers, Evaluators, and Users of Methodological Literature Reviews. *Organizational Research Methods*, *26*(1), 46–76. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428120943281

Ajayi, O. E., & Letseka, M. (2026). From Engagement to Outcomes: AI-Driven Learning Analytics in Higher Education—Insights for South Africa. *Trends in Higher Education*, Vol. 5, p. 16. https://doi.org/10.3390/higheredu5010016

Alhapip, L., Isal, K., Yugo, R., & Aji, R. F. (2024). Strengthening the Role of Local Governments in Implementing the Merdeka Curriculum Policy through Knowledge Management. *… of Educational ….* Retrieved from https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&profile=ehost&scope=site&authtype=crawler&jrnl=1302597X&AN=185336114&h=7TeRqkYPV7jAIonIZ7Xf12CHDXDEdinojYJMVhLl1qzC1b87qCrBGpcNv6c3nGQHP%2Fb2lQGYQ%2FmsyLJUUEXK3Q%3D%3D&crl=c

Astuti, M., Ismail, F., Fatimah, S., Puspita, W., & Herlina, H. (2024). The relevance of the Merdeka Curriculum in improving the quality of Islamic education in Indonesia. *… , Teaching and Educational ….*

Azalia, A., Lerian, D. P., & Taufik, E. (2023). Systematic literature review: Curriculum development principle. *Development*. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/15344843221148949

Azmi, C., & Hadiyanto, H. (2023). National Curriculum Education Policy" Curriculum Merdeka And Its Implementation". *… Journal of Educational ….* Retrieved from https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/e70a/aecb988545ec228b251f667928c5219f784f.pdf

Bo, N. S. W. (2025). OECD digital education outlook 2023: Towards an effective education ecosystem. *Hungarian Educational Research Journal*, *15*(2), 284–289. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1556/063.2024.00340

Elfitra, E., Armanto, D., & Dewi, I. (2024). Assessing Student Characteristics With The Right Instruments: An Important Pathway To Implementing A Merdeka Curriculum In Indonesia. *Mathline: Jurnal Matematika Dan ….* Retrieved from http://mathline.unwir.ac.id/index.php/Mathline/article/view/703

Fauzi, M. H., & Hidayat, E. R. (2025). Analysis of developing the Kurikulum Merdeka in the financial accounting department. *… Development*. Retrieved from https://ejournal-education.upi.edu/curricula/article/view/446

Hisaanah, K., Hayadi, B. H., Nurlena, E., Zikriyanto, W., Oktalia, H., & Suryo P, M. W. (2026). Metode Kualitatif dan Kuantitatif dalam Penelitian Pendidikan. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Dan Keislaman*, *6*(1 SE-Articles), 37–43.

https://doi.org/10.55883/jipkis.v6i1.213

Hunaepi, H., & Suharta, I. (2024). Transforming education in Indonesia: The impact and challenges of the Merdeka belajar curriculum. *Path of Science*. Retrieved from https://www.ssoar.info/ssoar/handle/document/95381

Kartika, A. (2024). Unveiling the Merdeka Curriculum in Indonesia: Insights from Educators and Policymakers on Its Effectiveness and Implications. *Interdiciplinary Journal and …*. Retrieved from http://www.injurity.pusatpublikasi.id.submitjurnal.id/index.php/inj/article/view/1378

Lestari, N. A. P., & Wahyuni, L. T. S. (2023). Policy analysis of the implementation of Merdeka Curriculum in elementary school. *… Education*. Retrieved from https://ejournal.undiksha.ac.id/index.php/IJEE/article/view/64103

Nari, N., Khaidir, C., Arif, Y., & Gistituati, N. (2023). The Strategy of the School Principle in the Implementation of the Independent Curriculum. *… and Education* Retrieved from https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/06be/0ae2f27eb65cd82b38955b5bcbe67aedf379.pdf

Pavlov, V. V, & Arapova, E. Y. (2024). *Original article Human Capital Development in a Long-Term Governmental Planning : OECD Case-Study Развитие человеческого капитала в стратегическом государственном планировании : исследование ОЭСР*. 120–143. https://doi.org/10.17223/2312461X/44/6

Rong, W., Xiao, M., Zhao, L., & Zhou, X. (2025). Empowering Student Learning in Higher Education with Generative AI Art Applications: A Systematic Review. *Information*, Vol. 16, p. 1070. https://doi.org/10.3390/info16121070

Setiawan, A., & Ahla, S. S. F. (2023). Innovating for the future: A critical analysis of curriculum development models KBK, KBM, KTSP, K13, and merdeka curriculum. *Al Qalam: Jurnal Ilmiah …*. Retrieved from http://jurnal.stiq-amuntai.ac.id/index.php/al-qalam/article/view/2191

Syahrir, S., & Pujiriyanto, P. (2024). The implementation of merdeka curriculum to realize Indonesia golden generation: A systematic literature review. *Al-Ishlah: Jurnal Pendidikan*. Retrieved from http://www.journal.staihubbulwathan.id/index.php/alishlah/article/view/4872

Waly, R., Asmi, N., Abdullah, R., & Syafriadi, S. (2025). Evaluation of the Independent Curriculum Policy: A Literature Review on Theoretical Foundations and Implementation Practices. *… Language and Education*. Retrieved from https://mail.jele.or.id/index.php/jele/article/view/1274